Approved For Release 2003/09/29 : 4 - RSF 6340313A000600030009-4

CHAL-0587 Copy Gof 18

6 March 1959

STAFF MEETING MINUTES - 3 March 1959	25X1
Personnel Present: Mr. Bissell. Col. Burke Mr. Reber Mr. Cunningham, Jr. Col. Geary	
CHALICE	
1. VISIT TO EDWARDS - Col. Burke reported that everything he checked at the Test Site was in good shape. He noted that the Project hangar was outstanding in appearance and relationships between Col. are outstanding. In addition, LAC consults with on all points of interest. Col. Burke reported that Fire Departments have combined at North Edwards and have created a more efficient service.	
In addition, Col. Burke visited both	25X1A
Both were being maintained in good condition. hangars and facilities were good, requiring only extension and re-	25X1A
working of the runway in the event GUSTO training was conducted there.	
2. TEST STATUS BOARD - No change reported. IRAN of aircraft 342 is underway and is expected to be completed 15 April at which time 344 will go into IRAN.	
reported that Kelly Johnson would need one aircraft by 18 March and two more by June for J-75 modifications.	
It was determined that LAC at the Test Site should be queried relative entire IRAN schedule.	
ACTION: and Operations Branch	
and Col. Geary stated that Kelly Johnson's initial report on the J-75 was good. Leaving slipper tanks off we may get as much as 5,000 feet increase in whole profile, admittedly sacrificing approximately 280 miles on range. Mr. Bissell indicated that	

Page 1 of 4

TOP SECRET

USAF review(s) completed.

25X1

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1

25X1A

Approved For Release 2003/09/29 CIARDES 00313A000600030009-4

the second U-2 should go into IRAN and for J-75 Mods without waiting flight test on the first one. The first one will take three months. Furthermore, we should consider going ahead on all four, i.e., to extent of cutting metal. Col. Geary added that we have five J-75 engines on hand.

At this point Mr. Cunningham raised the question as to what 25X1A we should tell ______about J-75 tests. It was decided we should tell them what we are doing but that we should emphasize that it is only a test program and we foresee four months program before any results will be known. Point of this is to avoid any

ACTION: Operations

Mr. Bissell also requested that _____ draw up a program covering the J-75 modification.

attempt slow down there awaiting the increase in altitude.

25X1A

ACTION: Mr. Klefer

In commenting on the need for a proposed TRAN schedule Mr. Bissell was concerned whether it would modify deployment plans in any way. He raised the query as to possibility of getting along with four aircraft.

ACTION:

25X1A

- 3. STATUS OF ______ reported a mechanical breakdown during the high altitude test in the circuitry system. Tests resume 3 March and may be completed this week. Mr. Bissell stated that if it can be reasonably successful at altitude we should go sheed operationally.
- 4. NON-CHALICE AF SUPPORT OF CIA Mr. Bissell stated that on 4 March he would see General Smart and propose to him that a single consolidated point of contact for the Air Force in CIA be considered. Questions on TAB-6 or procedural matters will not be raised at this meeting. Mr. Bissell queried as to whether Col. Prouty had expressed any feeling pro or con relative such an arrangement and Col. Burke indicated that Col. Prouty stated no objections.
- 5. FROGRAM APPROVAL PROCEDURES AND RESPONSIBILITIES Mr. Bissell outlined a recently approved (by DCI) change in procedures wherein approvals for Procurement Programs will be at the program stage rather than at the contract stage thereby making it possible to delegate to the Contracting Officer authority to approve contract

Page 2 of 4

CHAL-0587 Copy of 18

TOP SEGRET

25X1A

25X1A

documents within approved programs. This would cut down the paper work and the number of people and would be more meaningful in that the people who are working on a day-to-day basis on the individual contracts and amendments would be in a position to approve changes provided the program had been previously approved and was not a policy making change (approvals are DCI for over \$100,000, DD/P for under \$100,000 and Chief DPD-DD/P for under \$10,000).

This procedure would have the effect of allowing the Contracting Officer to approve the various kinds of Program amendments such as (1) when the program is translated into an actual contract and the estimate differs in some respects from the actual; the delegation to Chief, DPD to approve items under \$10,000 should apply to net change, not each change separately; (2) when items listed as possibilities in original program approval have to be made firm. Should such have to go to the DCI it should be briefly; (3) amendments to contracts and programs reflecting changes in scope of work. This has been in past a big problem. It has been the practice to approve piecemeal, usually to increase scope. Usually this is done informally by phone but the defect is that such changes can mount without warning and there is no money. In this connection the steps should be that the basic contract ought to specify reasonable tasks and an estimate of each task. There should be a statement of the major element of scope of work and a reasonably large price tag should be placed on each. With reference to on-call contracts (spares, new suppliers, etc.) we should arbitrarily put X money aside. Thus in the basic contract we will have tasks with price tags and in the on-call we will be buying services at an estimated amount. These will require amendments and in this connection sections responsible should initiate the amendment. The responsible section head should keep himself informed as to the financial aspects and be ready to reduce or increase as need be. This can be done in an informal fashion by dividing up the money and breaking down the budget to show what goes to each section, e.g., Admin for guards, Materiel for spares, Operations for Flight Safety items, etc. This will sharpen responsibility on all section heads for watching and for initiating changes where necessary.

ACTION: All Sections

25X1

-										=						
	the	week	or	88	Boon	the	rea	fter	8.8	possible	to	get	urgent	co	nside	era-
										. directed						

ACTION: Mr. Cunningham

Page 3 of 4

CHAL-0587 Copy 6 of 18

TOP SEGRET -

IUP SEUKEI operation, but not go to the President for a repeat on Mr. Bissell also spoke on the CHALICE Evacuation Plan, both for field stations and Headquarters and stated we must formulate plans wou. Mr. Cunningham, ACTION: Mr. Bissell again restated his desire to have definite procedures regarding control of non-CHALICE air operations. He emphasized that he wants DPD to be known as the locus of the best technical judgment of air operations as distinguished from requirements, security and political aspects which are primarily area division concern. ACTION: APPROVED: WILLIAM BURKE Colonel, USAF Acting Chief, DPD-DD/P

25X1A

Distribution:

25X1

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

- 1 DD/P
- 2 A-DD/P-P
- 3 A/C, DPD-DD/P 4 Mr. Reber
- 5 Admin DPD-DD/P
- 6 Cover DFD-DD/P
- 7 D & P DPD-DD/P
- 8 Security DPD-DD/P
- 9 Personnel DPD-DD/P
- 10 Contracting DPD-DD/P
- 11 Materiel DPD-DD/P
- 12 Commo DPD-DD/P
- 13 Ops DPD-DD/P
- 14 PDO DPD-DD/P
- 15 Finance DPD-DD/P
- 16 Col. Geary
- 17 Air Div DPD-DD/P
- 18 Admin Chrono DPD-DD/P

Page 4 of 4 TOP SEGRET

CHAL-0587 Copy C of 18

CHAL - 0589
Copy 5 of 5

3 0 APR 1959

PROGRAM APPROVAL

- 1. Purpose To obtain improved performance in U-2 aircraft through installation of J-75 engines.
- 2. Description of Work or Services Required

Installation of J-75 engines in \$ U-2 type mireraft.

Total estimated cost J-75 engines plus modifications are being prommed via Air Force using Air Force funds. Seven engines will be available for the \$ installations. Contractor is to proceed with the installation of 1 engine in aircraft number 342 and to conduct a flight test program beginning approximately mid-May 1959 and extending for no more than 4 norths. A second installation will be made in aircraft number 358 beginning mid-March. Reported delivery will be between mid-May and early June. The contractor is also building new dusting engine mount provisions, etc., for the additional 2 aircraft.

3. Expected Additional or Related Work or Bervices

4. Rotal Estimated Cost

25X1A

25X1A

The cost breakdown is ______ or the first J-75 installation and 4 month flight test program. ______ for miscollameous spare parts, _____ for new duets and other parts for the remaining 3 installations, _____ for engine installation in remaining 3 aircraft.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

5. Delivery Schedule or Period of Performance - as indicated in 2 and

SPENED

Authorized IPD-ID/P Requester

APPROVED: OF RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL: (Approving officer strike out one)

SIGNED

RICHARD M. MISSALL, Jr. Deputy Director (Plans)

ALIZI W. JULES Director

6. Conditions of Approval:

IS C.P.C. DDCI

1 8 MAY 1959

The above to be funded within the already approved CHALICE budget for Fiscal Year 1959.

EPK: eml (6 Mar 1959)

1 - Contracts

2 - DD/P

3 - Finance 4 - R & D Subj

5 - R & D Corono